



सत्यमेव जयते

आयुक्तकाकार्यालय  
Office of the Commissioner  
केन्द्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय  
Central GST, Appeal Ahmedabad Commissionerate  
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.  
GST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015  
Phone: 079-26305065 Fax: 079-26305136  
E-Mail : commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in



**By Regd. Post**

DIN NO.: 20230564SW0000712653

(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/1077/2023 / 11/11/23 - 118
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्या और दिनांक / Order-In -Appeal and date	AHM-CGST-002-APP-ADC-09/2023-24 and 16.05.2023
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री मिहिर रायका, अपर आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Mihir Rayka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals)
(घ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	17.05.2023
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. ZA241221194329L dated 31.12.2021 passed by The Superintendent, CGST, Range-V, Division-VII, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate	
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	M/s Charan Harsimran Bayond (ABC Wireline Services), 16, 1st Floor, Shyamal Complex, Chandkheda, Ahmedabad-380005

(A)	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी /प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है। Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.
(i)	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.
(ii)	State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017
(iii)	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against, subject to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.
(B)	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along with relevant documents either electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar, Appellate Tribunal in FORM GST APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against within seven days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.
(i)	Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying - (i) Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant; and (ii) A sum equal to twenty five per cent of the remaining amount of Tax in dispute, in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGST Act, 2017, arising from the said order, in relation to which the appeal has been filed.
(ii)	The Central Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later.
(C)	उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट <a href="http://www.cbic.gov.in">www.cbic.gov.in</a> को देख सकते हैं। For elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the website <a href="http://www.cbic.gov.in">www.cbic.gov.in</a> .



**ORDER-IN-APPEAL**

**Brief facts of the case:**

Charan Harsimram Bayond (Trade Name :ABC Wireline Services) (GSTIN-24AGRPB0378H2ZF), 16, First Floor, Shyamal Complex, 100 Ft Road., Opp. Jantanagar, Chandkheda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat : 380005 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Appellant') has filed the present appeal against Order No. ZA241221194329L dated 31.12.2021 (hereinafter referred to as the 'impugned order') for Cancellation of Registration issued by the Superintendent, CGST, Range-V, Division-VII, S G Highway East, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate (hereinafter referred to as the 'adjudicating authority').

2. Briefly stated the fact of the case is that the appellant was registered under GSTIN 24AGRPB0378H2ZF. The appellant was issued Show Cause Notice dated 18.12.2021 for cancellation of their registration due to failure to furnish returns for a continuous period of six months. The adjudicating authority vide *the impugned order dated 31.12.2021* ordered for cancellation of registration with effect from 17-12-2021 on the ground that "1. Taxpayer has not submitted the response to the SCN dated 17.12.2021 and also not filed required returns upto November-2021. Returns filed upto March-2020, therefore the GSTIN cancelled under the provisions of Section 29(2) of the CGST Act, 2017."

*The effective date of cancellation of registration is 17/12/2021.*

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order *the appellant* filed the present appeal on 28.03.2023 for revocation of cancellation of their GST Registration Number on the grounds that;

- i) the appellant is involved in the business of Manpower Services and Mining Services, the manpower services business has been adversely affected because of COVID-19 and the lock down situation.
- ii) the appellant was not able to operate business regularly from 18<sup>th</sup> March 2020 till November 2021. On account of the covid impact and facing huge liquidity crises, which resulted into the delayed filing of returns. During the period their business activity was completely stopped, their accountant and other managerial staff were also laid off.
- iii) they filed GSTR-3B returns upto December 2021 on 28.05.2022 and all the returns and tax liability are duly complied as on date.
- iii) request to restore /revoke the cancelled registration number



**Personal Hearing :**

4. Personal hearings in the matter were offered to the “*appellant*” on 13.04.2023, 24.04.2023 and 04.05.2023. However, no one appeared for the PH on the scheduled dates and also not received any communication from the *appellant* in this regard.

The personal hearings were informed to the *appellant* through letters F. No. GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/1077/2023/334, 390 & 730 dated 10.04.2023, 13.04.2023 & 25.04.2023 respectively. The said letter were dispatched to the appellant at their registered address 16, First Floor, Shyamal Complex, 100 Ft Road, Opp. Jantanagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380005 through India Post. Further, the said PH letters were also mailed on email id: *bakulparikh@yahoo.com*, as provided by the appellant while filing of the present appeal.

**Discussion & findings:**

5. I have gone through the facts of the case, the impugned order and the grounds of appeal as well as written submissions of *the appellant*. I find that the main issue to be decided in the instant case is (i) whether the appeal has been filed within the prescribed time limit; and (ii) whether the appeal filed against the order of cancellation of registration can be considered for revocation / restoration of cancelled registration by the proper officer. I find that the impugned order was issued on 31.12.2021 by the adjudicating authority and the said order was also communicated to them on the same day i.e on 31.12.2021. It is further observed that the appellant has filed the present appeal on 28.03.2023 along with certified copy of the impugned order dated 31.12.2021.

6. I further find it relevant to go through the relevant statutory provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017, which is reproduced as under:

**SECTION 107. Appeals to Appellate Authority.** — (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority may appeal to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed **within three months from the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.**

(2) .....

(3) .....

(4) *The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month.*“





**6.1** Accordingly, I observed that the Appellant was required to file appeal within three (3) months from the receipt of *the impugned order dated 28.01.2022*. However, in the instant case the appellant has filed the present appeal on 21.03.2023 i.e. after a lapse of a period more than nine months from the due date. Further, I also find that in terms of provisions of Section 107(4) *ibid*, the appellate authority has powers to condone the delay of one month in filing of appeal over and above the prescribed period of three months as mentioned above, if sufficient cause is shown. Accordingly, I find that there is an inordinate delay of more than nine months in filing the appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the present appeal has been filed beyond the time limit as prescribed under the Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 cannot be entertained.

**6.2** I further find that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed order on 10.01.2022 in matter of Miscellaneous Application No. 21 of 2022 in MA 665/2021, in SMW(C) No. 3 of 2020. The relevant para No. 5 (I) & 5 (III) of said order is reproduced as under:

5. *Taking into consideration the arguments advanced by learned counsel and the impact of the surge of the virus on public health and adversities faced by litigants in the prevailing conditions, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the M.A. No. 21 of 2022 with the following directions:*

I. *The order dated 23.03.2020 is restored and in continuation of the subsequent orders dated 08.03.2021, 27.04.2021 and 23.09.2021, **it is directed that the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 shall stand excluded for the purposes of limitation as may be prescribed under any general or special laws in respect of all judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings.***

II. ....

III *In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 01.03.2022 is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.*

**6.3** Further, I also find that the CBIC, New Delhi has issued Circular No. 157/13/2021-GST dated 20<sup>th</sup> July, 2021 and clarified as under:-

4(c) *Appeals by taxpayers/ tax authorities against any quasi-judicial order:-*

*Wherever any appeal is required to filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where a proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, **the time line***



**for the same would stand extended as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order.**

5. In other words, the extension of timelines granted by Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its Order dated 27.04.2021 is applicable in respect of any appeal which is required to be filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, and is not applicable to any other proceedings under GST Laws.

7. Looking to the above, I find in the present case that the period of limitation of 90 days as per Hon'ble Supreme Court's Order dated 10-1-2022 in suo-moto writ petition (C) NO.3 of 2020 in MA No.665/2021 has also already been completed on 29.06.2022 (even if, considering the one month condonation period) and hence, the present case would not be eligible for the relaxation / extension granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in respect of period(s) of limitation as mentioned above from the date on which the said decision or impugned order is communicated to such person/ appellant. Accordingly, I find that the further proceedings in case of the present appeal can be taken up for consideration strictly as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act, 2017.

8. It is also observed that the appellant has not submitted application for condonation of delay for such inordinate delay of in filing the appeal. I find that this appellate authority is a creature of the statute and has to act as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act. This appellate authority, therefore, cannot condone the delay beyond the period permissible under the CGST Act. When legislature has intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning further delay of only one month, this appellate authority cannot go beyond the power vested by the legislature. My views are supported by the following case laws:

- (i) The **Hon'ble Supreme Court** in the case of **Singh Enterprises** reported at 2008 (221) E.L.T.163 (S.C.) has held as under:

"8. ...The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the legislature intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning delay only upto 30 days after the expiry of 60 days which is the normal period for preferring appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The Commissioner and the High Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condone the delay after the expiry of 30 days period."



- (ii) In the case of **Makjai Laboratories Pvt Ltd** reported at 2011 (274) E.L.T. 48 (Bom.), **the Hon'ble Bombay High Court** held that the Commissioner (Appeals) cannot condone delay beyond further period of 30 days from initial period of 60 days and that provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 is not applicable in such cases as Commissioner (Appeals) is not a Court.
- (iii) **The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case of Delta Impex** reported at 2004 (173) E.L.T. 449 (Del) held that the Appellate authority has no jurisdiction to extend limitation even in a "suitable" case for a further period of more than thirty days.

9. I find that the provisions of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Act, 2017 are *pari materia* with the provisions of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and hence, the above judgments would be squarely applicable to the present appeal also.

10. By respectfully following the above judgments, I hold that this appellate authority cannot condone delay beyond further period of one month as prescribed under Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017 as well as appeal is filed beyond the extension of time limit provided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 10.01.2022. Thus, the appeal filed by the appellant is required to be dismissed on the grounds of limitation as not filed within the prescribed time limit in terms of the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. I do not find any reason to interfere with the decision taken by the adjudicating authority vide *the impugned order*. I, accordingly, reject the present appeal filed by the appellant on time limitation factor.

11. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।


The appeals filed by the *appellant* stands disposed of in above terms.

  
(Mihir Rayka)

Additional Commissioner (Appeals)

Date: 16 .05.2023

Attested

  
(Tejas J Mistry)  
Superintendent (Appeals)  
Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

By R.P.A.D.

To,  
Charan Harsimran Bayond (GSTIN-24AGRPB0378H2ZF),  
(Trade Name : M/s. ABC Wireline Services)  
16, First Floor, Shyamal Complex, 100 Ft Road.,  
Opp. Jantanagar, Chandkheda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat : 380005,



Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Commissioner [Appeals], CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad.
3. The Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad-North.
4. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (Systems), Ahmedabad -North.
5. The Dy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Division-VII, S G Highway East, Ahmedabad North.
6. The Superintendent, CGST & C. Ex., Range-I, Division-VII, S G Highway East, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate.
7. Guard File.
8. P. A. File.





